

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/747,985		12/30/2003	David B. Olson	59460US002	6610	
32692	7590	10/05/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		PROPERTIES	SIMONE, CATHERINE A			
PO BOX 33 ST. PAUL,		33-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
J,				1772		

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	, <i>j</i>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/747,985	OLSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Catherine Simone	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may i. riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo atute, cause the application to become	IICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
	This action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is	;
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction and 	or election requirement		
	· or orosion requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan		–	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	-,,	` '). D.
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• 7
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<u>. </u>	sion malaultu undas 25 II C C	C 440(a) (d) az (b)	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eigh phonty under 35 0.5.C	9 119(a)-(d) 01 (1).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bu			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	ot received.	
			•
Attachment(s)		. C (PTO 110)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper N	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	√08) 5)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office			Ge
PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Offic	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 2005100	02 6

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-20 and 26, drawn to brightness enhancing film, classified in class 526, subclass 68.
- II. Claims 21-25 and 27-29, drawn to an article, classified in class 428, subclass 156.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as films for liquid crystal displays and automotive and aviation displays, and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: with or without a photoinitiator.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 13 and 26 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Carolyn Fischer on 9/26/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/747,985 Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine Simone whose telephone number is (571)272-1501. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Catherine A. Simone

Examiner Art Unit 1772 October 2, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER